

## An antimagic labeling in $K_{2n,2n} - nC_4$

Ming-Ju Lee \*

Meng-Kuang Kuo

*Jen-Teh Junior College of Medicine, Nursing and Management*

*Houlong, Miaoli*

*Taiwan, R.O.C.*

Ying-Ren Chen

*Department of Mathematics*

*National Central Univeristy*

*Chung-Li*

*Taiwan, R.O.C.*

---

### Abstract

An antimagic labeling of a finite simple graph with  $m$  edges and  $n$  vertices is a bijection from the set of edges to the set of integers  $1, 2, \dots, m$  such that all  $n$  vertices sums are pairwise distinct, where the vertex sum of a vertex  $v$  is the sum of labels of all edges incident with the vertex  $v$ . An graph is called antimagic if it has an antimagic labeling. In [1], N. Hartsfield and G. Ringel conjectured in 1990 that all connected graphs except  $K_2$  are antimagic. In this paper, we prove a special case that the graph  $K_{2n,2n} - nC_4$  is antimagic.

---

*Keywords and phrases* : Antimagic graph, antimagic labeling.

### 1. Introduction

In 1989, the concept of antimagic graph is introduced by Hartsfield and Ringel [1]. All graphs in this paper are finite, simple, undirected and without loops.

**Definition 1.** An antimagic labeling of a graph  $G(V, E)$  with  $m$  edges and  $n$  vertices is a bijection  $f : E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that the induced vertex sum  $f^+ : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is injective, where  $f^+(v) = \sum \{f(u, v) \mid u \text{ incident to } v \text{ in } G\}$ . A graph is called antimagic if it has an antimagic labeling.

---

\*E-mail: s9241007@cc.ncu.edu.tw

*Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences & Cryptography*

Vol. 13 (2010), No. 3, pp. 299–304

© Taru Publications